# Wiltshire Council

## Western Area Planning Committee

### 14th October 2015

# **Appeal Performance 2015**

### 1. Purpose of Report

To review the outcomes of decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate on appeals in the area covered by the Western Area Planning Committee since the last report in December 2014.

### 2. Appeal Decisions

Since December, the Council has received decisions on 26 appeals in the area covered by the Western Area Planning Committee (this number includes two decisions on applications dealt with by the Strategic Planning Committee on sites in the area covered by the Western Area Planning Committee). Sixteen of these were on decisions taken under delegated powers. Ten were made by councillors at committee, including the two determined by the Strategic Committee. Overall, sixteen appeals were dismissed and ten allowed.

|                |   | <b>-</b> .                     |           |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Reference      | Location                                | Description                    | Decision  |
| 13/06053/VAR   | 112, Beanacre, Melksham                 | Change to garden wall/fences   | Dismissed |
| 14/02453/VAR   | The Chase, Hiperton                     | Annex changed to dwelling      | Allowed   |
| 14/00953/FUL   | 5, Park Lane, Heywood                   | New dwelling                   | Dismissed |
| 13/04731/FUL   | 35, Barnwell Rd Melksham                | First floor extension          | Allowed   |
| 14/08173/PNCOU | Barn, Little Common Lane, North Bradley | Conversion to dwelling         | Dismissed |
| 14/06888/FUL   | 27, Murray Walk, Melksham               | Brick-built store              | Dismissed |
| 14/07681/FUL   | Chalford house Hotel, Westbury          | Photography platform           | Allowed   |
| 14/05782/FUL   | 5, Imber Court, Warminster              | Alterations                    | Allowed   |
| 15/00351/FUL   | 1, College Road, Trowbridge             | Two storey extension           | Dismissed |
| 14/00131/LBC   | 6, Castle Street, Trowbridge            | Redecoration and new signage   | Dismissed |
| 14/03475/ADV   | 6, Castle Street, Trowbridge            | New signage                    | Dismissed |
| 14/07159/FUL   | 4, Marshmead, Hilperton                 | Two dwellings                  | Dismissed |
| 13/03862/FUL   | 156, Winsley                            | One dwelling                   | Dismissed |
| 14/10916/VAR   | 22, Horse Rd, Hilperton                 | Separate occupation of annex   | Allowed   |
| 14/09737/FUL   | Barn at Hoopers Pool, Southwick         | Conversion to dwelling         | Dismissed |
| 14/09946/VAR   | Little Ashley, Bradford on Avon         | Removal of occupancy condition | Dismissed |
|                |   |                                |           |

#### Table A – Appeal Decisions on applications determined under delegated powers

### Table B – Appeal Decisions on applications determined by Western Area Committee

| Reference    | Location                           | Description             | Decision  |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 14/02339/FUL | Beechwood, Bratton Rd, West Ashton | New dwelling            | Allowed   |
| 14/08400/FUL | Beechwood, Bratton Rd, West Ashton | New dwelling            | Allowed   |
| 14/03770/FUL | Sienna Valley Farm, Chapmanslade   | Barn extension          | Dismissed |
| 14/05253/FUL | Ganbrook Farm, Little Chalfield    | Solar Farm (25ha)       | Dismissed |
| 14/03465/FUL | 93, Victoria Rd Trowbridge         | House extensions        | Dismissed |
| 14/05120/FUL | 3, Goose Street, Southwick         | 3 houses                | Dismissed |
| 14/10385/VAR | Redstocks, Melksham                | Variation of conditions | Dismissed |
| 15/01668/FUL | 221, Melksham Road, Holt           | Single storey extension | Allowed   |

### Table C - Appeal Decisions on applications determined by Strategic Committee

| Reference    | Location                | Description                      | Decision |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| 13/06140/FUL | Snarlton Farm, Melksham | Solar Farm (80ha)                | Allowed  |
| 14/07284/FUL | The Poplars, Southwick  | Gypsy & traveller site expansion | Allowed  |

## 3. Comments

The Council's overall success rate in defending its original decisions in the area covered by the Western Area Planning Committee was 61.5%, which is lower than the national average for 2014/15 (65.5%). The success rate on delegated decisions was higher than the national average at 69%.

During the period, the Council challenged the Secretary of State via a judicial review on two appeal decisions – the appeal at the Chase, Hilperton (14/02453/VAR) and an earlier decision at Devizes Road, Hilperton (13/06879/OUT) for 15 dwellings. Unfortunately, whilst the Judge found that the decision at Devizes Road, Hilperton, was unlawful, due to the actions of the planning inspectorate, she declined to quash the decision, considering that this would be unfair to the appellants.

There is no real common theme emerging through these decisions. However, it is clear that the adoption of the Wiltshire Core Strategy has had an impact. Fewer appeals against larger housing schemes in the western area have been received this year, with one of the largest (an appeal against the refusal of permission for 98 houses at Holt) being withdrawn by the appellants before reaching a public inquiry.

There were no cost awards against the Council for unreasonable behaviour on any of the appeals, nor were any costs awarded to the Council. An application by the Council for the costs it incurred up to the date of the withdrawal of the Holt application is currently being considered by the Planning Inspectorate.

In the absence of larger housing schemes, the two largest schemes determined at appeal were for solar farms. The smaller of these (Ganbrook Farm 25ha) was dismissed. The largest (Snarlton Farm, nr Melksham 80 ha) was allowed. In the former, the Inspector considered that the landscape impact and degree of harm to heritage assets in the nearby South Wraxall Conservation Area justified dismissal of the appeal. In the latter, the Inspector

found that the limited landscape impact was outweighed by the benefits of the scheme, in terms of the amount of renewable energy being generated and the economic benefits of the investment in the local economy.

# 4. Future Trends

An appeal has been lodged against the decision made by the Strategic Committee (in line with the officer's recommendation), to refuse a proposal for 300 houses at Bitham Park, Westbury. However, delays by the Inspectorate mean that the appeal is unlikely to be heard before late Spring/Summer. The Council currently considers that it has a five year land supply, and it is likely that the number of appeals against residential schemes and their success or failure in the future will be heavily influenced by any differing conclusions on this matter by Inspectors at appeal.

Report Author – Mike Wilmott, Area Development Manager.